

Problem set 3  
607: Applied Macroeconometrics  
Fall 2016  
Jon Faust

The following is due at the beginning of next class. You can turn in any paper in my mailbox or in class; email me and requested computer work. You may work in groups; hand in a single submission for the group. The submission should list those who contributed.

1. Define the following terms
  - (a) Nominal size of a test
  - (b) Power of a test
  - (c) Neyman-Pearson Lemma
  - (d) Define a consistent test
2.  $\chi^2$ .
  - (a) Define the  $\chi^2$  in terms of normals.
  - (b) Sketch the  $\chi_{(k)}^2$  density for  $k = 1$ . How does this differ from the density for higher  $k$ ?
  - (c) State the mean and variance of the  $\chi_{(k)}^2$  as a function of  $k$ .
  - (d) If  $x \sim \chi_{(k)}^2$ , what happens to the mean and variance of  $x/k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .
3.  $F$ . Suppose  $x \sim F_{(k,\ell)}$ .
  - (a) Define the  $F$  distribution in terms of other familiar distributions.
  - (b) For fixed  $k = 1$ , what does increasing  $\ell$  do to the shape of the density? In the limit for large  $\ell$ ?
4. Take the AR(2) process,

$$A(L)y_t = \varepsilon_t$$

where  $A(L) = (1 - \rho_1 L - \rho_2 L^2)$ . If the process is stationary, then we can also write the process as

$$y_t = B(L)\varepsilon_t$$

where  $B(L) = A(L)^{-1}$ , or

$$B(L)A(L) = 1$$

- (a) Assuming  $B(L) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j L^j$  and using the definition of  $A(L)$  and  $B(L)A(L) = 1$  (and by matching coefficients on powers of  $L$ ) find expressions for  $b_0, \dots, b_3$  in terms of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ .
- (b) Give an expression for the variance of the process in terms of the  $\rho$ s and variance of  $\varepsilon$ .

5. Take the MA(1) process:

$$y_t = (1 + \theta L)\varepsilon_t$$

with  $\varepsilon_t \sim iidN(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ .

Note: You may want to consult the lecture notes on covariance stationary math.

What is the variance of the process? And the first autocovariance?

- (a) Now consider the process

$$y_t = (1 + \theta^{-1}L)\varepsilon_t$$

with  $\varepsilon_t \sim iidN(0, \theta^2 \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ .

What is the variance of the process? And the first autocovariance?

- (b) Using a sample of, say, 100 observations could you distinguish these processes?
- (c) Suppose one of these two processes describes some real world process. Can it matter which is 'true'? Explain.

6. The class presentation.

Obtain monthly data for 3-month nominal interest rates in the US and UK and data for the dollar-pound exchange rate.

- (a) Plot the interest rates and the log change ( $\ln(x_t) - \ln(x_{t-1})$ ) in the exchange rate.
- (b) When  $s_t$  is the log exchange rate and  $i_t$  and  $i_t^*$  are home and foreign 1-period interest rates, the uncovered interest rate parity relation is:

$$E_t s_{t+1} - s_t = i_t - i_t^*$$

Give an interpretation of this relation and explain why we might expect something like this relation to hold.

- (c) To test the UIP relation, researchers often run a regression

$$s_{t+1} - s_t = \alpha + \beta(i_t - i_t^*) + \varepsilon_t$$

and test  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ . Under what assumptions about expectations is this a sensible test?

- (d) What modifications/transformations to your data are needed to properly implement the test just described? Think about the following: Your interest rate data may be in annualized percent change. You have three-month rates measured at a monthly frequency. Exchange rate may be stated in dollars per pound or the other way. Would you rather use monthly data that is on an average-of-daily basis or end-of-month basis? Which do you have?
- (e) Report results of the regression above for various sample periods in your data (e.g., whole sample period, split in the middle, etc.
- (f) For the presenter: using Fama's article show how the above results have implications for the sign and variance of the risk premium.

cite: Fama 1984 E. Fama, Spot and forward exchange rates. Journal of Monetary Economics, 14 (1984), pp. 319–338.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932\(84\)90046-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(84)90046-1)

note: Google UIP puzzle for many papers on this topic.